

My College

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/718,093	11/20/2003	Herman Rodriguez	AUS920030912US1 9415	
35525 IBM CORP (7590 12/12/2007 V	EXAMINER		
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC			SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG	
P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		_	2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/718,093	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Houshang Safaipour	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5, 7 and 9-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,6 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers	•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmantic		•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application			

10/718,093 Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

The recitation regarding "An automatic teller machine..." has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because the term "a user" has been recited in the first and fourth limitations. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Antognini et al. (US 2002/0023055).

Regarding claim 1, Antognini discloses an automatic machine, comprising:

a first input receiver for receiving input from a user (user placing paper payment instrument into the facsimile machine [0066]);

Application/Control Number:

10/718,093 Art Unit: 2625

a second input receiver for receiving input from the user (user manually entering a telephone number [0066];

a communication system capable of sending a facsimile to a remote location [0066]; wherein a user designates a facsimile number and causes a payment instrument to be sent by facsimile to the remote location [0066].

Regarding claim 3, Antognini discloses the machine of claim 1, wherein the second input receiver comprises an alpha-numeric keypad [0066].

Regarding claim 4, Antognini discloses the machine of claim 1, wherein the payment instrument is "paper payment instrument" [0066].

Regarding claim 5, Antognini discloses the machine of claim 1,, wherein the remote location is a payee [0066].

Regarding claim 7, Antognini discloses the machine of claim 1, wherein the facsimile is sent from a second remote location [0066].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Antognini et al. (US 2002/0023055).

10/718,093 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claims 9 and 15, Antognini discloses that a bill payer at ATM machine is presented with an option of paying his bills [0062]. Antognini also discloses that the ATM is capable of initiating a process where a physical check is issued and sent to the bill presented, through the mail or otherwise [0063]. Therefore if the payer selects payment via fax, the physical check can be faxed by using the method as described as "fourth choice for payment" as described in paragraph [0066].

Regarding claim 13, Antognini discloses the method of claim 9, wherein the step of sending the payment instrument includes sending a request to a remote location (server), wherein the remote location sends the payment instrument [0066].

Regarding claims 10 and 16, Antognini discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the step of receiving payment for the payment instrument comprises debiting an account of the user [0062].

Regarding claims 11 and 17, Antognini discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the step of receiving payment for the payment instrument comprises receiving cash input by the user into the automatic teller machine [0062].

Regarding claim 18, Antognini discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the step of sending the facsimile includes sending a request to a remote location (server) to send the facsimile to the designated payee [0066].

Regarding claim 19, Antognini discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the step of sending the facsimile further comprises receiving a designation of a facsimile number from the user [0066].

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claims 12 and 20, Antognini discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the payment instrument is selected from the group consisting of: a cashier's check, a money order and a personal check [0063].

Regarding claims 14 and 21, Antognini discloses the method of claim 15, further comprising the step of printing a receipt of the payment instrument [0064].

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 22 is allowed. The prior art does not disclose an automatic teller machine comprising: "...a sending means for sending the facsimile from a second remote location when the automatic teller machine is incapable of sending a facsimile, wherein a request to send a facsimile is sent from the automatic teller machine to a remote machine at the second remote location, and wherein the remote machine causes the facsimile to be sent; a charging means, responsive to the sending of the facsimile, for charging a user account for the payment instrument; and an output means for presenting to the user at least one of a payment instrument and a proof of the transaction."

10/718,093 Art Unit: 2625

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (571)272-7412.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 6:00am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner November 30, 2007 J. M.